(SRI H. M. CHANNABASAPPA)

though tail-end applicants get ready with their portion of work.

(3) Frequency conversion in Pavagada not yet completed.

(*c*) Sira Taluk ... 6
Pavagada Taluk ... 3

(*d*) Yes, to the extent possible.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ತಾರೇಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಮೆಟೀರಿಯಲ್ಸ್ ಅಭಾವದಿಂದ ಹಾಗ್ರತೆ ವಿದ್ಯುಚ್ಛಕ್ತಿ ಸರಬರಾಯಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಈಗ ಬೇಕಾದಷ್ಟು ಕಂಪ್ಲೀಷನ್ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟು ಬಂದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಸ್ಯಾಂಕ್ಷನ್ ಆಗಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪವರ್ ಕೊಡದೆ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

†ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಸ್ಯಾಂಕ್ಷನ್ ಆಗಿರುವುದು ನಿಜ. ಪವರ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎನ್ನುವುದು ನಿಜ. ಆದರೆ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳು ಸಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ದೊರೆಯದೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ, ನಿಧಾನ ಆದರೆ, ನಮಗೂ ನಿಮಗೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೂ ಪರಿಶ್ರೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡುವದು ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—What is the policy of the Board? On what basis the Government are going to issue materials with regard to supply of power?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಎಸ್ಟಿಮೇಟ್ಸ್ ಸ್ಯಾಂಕ್ಷನ್ ಆದಕೂಡಲೇ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಮೆಟೀರಿಯಲ್ಸ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಕೆಲಸಮಾಡಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Construction of Bridge across Kumudvati River.

*Q.—119. Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA (Honnali).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether the people of Essure and Gama in Shikaripura taluk are agitating for the construction of a bridge across Kumudvathi river and if so since how long;

(*b*) the extent of land cultivated by these villagers on the other side of the river;

(*c*) whether it is not a fact that they have to cross the river every day to cultivate their lands and also to graze their cattle;

(*d*) whether it is not a fact that provision was made in the budget of 1955-56 and 1956-57, to construct the above bridge;

(*e*) the action taken by them to construct the above bridge?

*A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(*a*) Yes; since 1955.

(*b*) 500 acres.

(*c*) Yes, for the time being.

(*d*) No provision was made in the Budget of 1955-56; provision had been made in 1956-57.

(*e*) In view of the fact that there are two bridges close to the proposed bridge at a distance of about 2 miles and 4 miles downstream and upstream respectively, this bridge is not considered necessary for the time being.

(*No supplementaries*).

Construction of High School Building at Nagavalli.

*Q.—121. Sri G. N. PUTTANNA (Tumkur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that a committee was constituted to raise funds for the construction of a High School building at Nagavalli, Hebbur Hobli, Tumkur Taluk;

(*b*) what is the total amount of funds raised or collected by the committee;

(*c*) how the amount was utilised;

(*d*) the amount of grant sanctioned by the Government for the construction of the High School building;

(*e*) whether it is a fact that an amount of nearly Rs. 30,000 was collected and only Rs. 16,000 have been deposited and the rest of the amount is unaccounted;

(*f*) will the Government appoint a Committee to enquire into the matter and publish the real facts after the enquiry?

*A.—Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI (Minister for Education).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The actual data regarding the amounts collected or funds raised is not known. However a sum of Rs. 18,830 has been deposited by the committee with the District Board, Tumkur.

(*c*) The amount has been utilised for the construction of the High School

building supplemented by the grants sanctioned by Government.

(*d*) Rs. 49,830 only.

(*e*) Only a sum of Rs. 18,830 has been deposited by the Building Committee towards the construction of the High School Building. The Government is not aware of any amount collected in excess of the amount actually credited.

(*f*) Government does not consider it necessary.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎನ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ (b)ಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ 18,830 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ವಸೂಲು ಆಯಿತು ಎಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ, 18,830 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಯಾರು ಕೊಟ್ಟರು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳಬಲ್ಲರಾ ?

†ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.—ಅದು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಮಿಸ್ಟೇಕ್ ಇದೆ. 18,170 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ವಸೂಲುಮಾಡಿ ಅವರವರೇ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಕಮಿಟಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎನ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಆ ಕಮಿಟಿಯ ಮೆಂಬರುಗಳು ಯಾರು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.—25 ಜನಗಳ ಒಂದು ಕಮಿಟಿ ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎನ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಈ ರೀತಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲು ಆಗಿದ್ದರೂ ಹಣ ಜಮಾ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.—ನನಗೆ ಅಂಥ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

---

2 P.M.

Sanction of Patta to Harijans and Backward Class People in the Villages of Gulbarga District.

*Q.—171. Sri J. P. SARWESH (Seram).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

the reasons for the delay in sanctioning of patta of the Government lands according to the Circular No. 6/A3/110754 of Ex-Hyderabad Government, dated 28th September 1956, to the Harijans and backward classes people of the following villages of Gulbarga district :—

1	Village Pet Chittapure.		Chittapure taluk.
2	Village Margole	...	Chittapure taluk.
3	Village Mudol	...	Seram Taluk?

*A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

There has been no delay in sanctioning of patta of the Government lands.

Sri J. P. SARWESH.—May I know whether patta in the abovementioned villages is already granted to the persons cultivating lands or is it pending ?

†Sri Kadidai MANJAPPA.—In some cases it is pending and in some cases it has been sanctioned.

Sri J. P. SARWESH.—Will the Hon'ble Minister kindly see that the payment of penalty assessment at five times and ten times which is collected every year is at least stopped ?

Sri Kadidai MANJAPPA.—That is being levied according to the rules. Until the khayam patta is granted, they are liable to pay penalty. Anyhow I have instructed the authorities that in unauthorised occupation of lands including gomal, they should take action to confirm the land early or to evict those who are under unauthorised occupation.

Sri J. P. SARWESH.—According to Circular No. 6 of Ex-Hyderabad Government, it is said that even if it is less than what is given in Government rules, necessary patta should be granted to them and I want the implementation of the same circular.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—If they have occupied Government land prior to 28th September 1956 then they are entitled to patta ; otherwise they will be evicted.

Treating of Nyamati-Govinakovi Road as a State Fund Road.

*Q.—182. Sri KUNDUR RUDRAPPA (Chennagiri).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they have received any representation to treat the road between Nyamathi and Govinakovi *via* Kodachigondanahalli village in Chennagiri taluk as State Fund road ;

(*b*) if so, the action taken by them in the matter ?